G_FOA

FLORIDA GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, INC.

Since 1937

P.O. Box 10270 • 301 South Bronough Street, Suite 300 • Tallahassee, Florida 32302-2270 Telephone: (850) 222-9684 • Fax: (850) 222-3806 • Web Page: www.fgfoa.org

2020-2021 OFFICERS

President JAMIE ROBERSON, CGFO

Director of Finance & Accounting
Osceola County Clerk of the Circuit Court &
Comptroller
(407) 742-3467
jamie.roberson@osceolaclerk.org

<u>President - Elect</u> SHANNON RAMSEY-CHESSMAN, CPA, CGFO

Chief Deputy Clerk Palm Beach County (561) 355-4277

<u>Secretary – Treasurer</u> JONATHAN C. MCKINNEY, CGFO

Finance Director City of Mount Dora (352) 735-7120 mckinneyj@ci.mount-dora.fl.us

DIRECTORS MELISSA BURNS

Director of Finance City of Atlantic Beach (904) 247-5807 mburns@coab.us

BRYAN CAHEN

Budget Director City of Weston Work (954) 385-2000 bcahen@westonfl.org

ALTON "RIP" COLVIN, CPA, CGFO, CPM

Executive Director
Justice Administrative Commission
(850) 488-2415
rip.colvin@justiceadmin.org

FRANK DIPAOLO, CPA, CGMA

Director of Finance City of Dania Beach (954) 924-6800 fdipaolo@daniabeachfl.gov

NICOLE GASPARRI, CGFO

Organizational Program Manager City of Boca Raton (561) 789-8236 ngasparri@myboca.us

OLGA RABEL

Inspector General Clay County – CCC Work (904) 269-6317 rabelo@clayclerk.com

WILLIAM SPINELLI, CPA

Chief Financial Officer Brevard County Sheriff's Office (321) 264-5206 bill.spinelli@bcso.us

KELLY STRICKLAND, CPA, CGFO

Director of Financial Administration City of Sarasota (941) 954-4124 kelly.strickland@sarasotagov.com

> PAUL SHAMOUN Executive Director

February 26, 2021

Alan Skelton, Director of Research and Technical Activities Governmental Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7 Post Office Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Via email: director@gasb.org

Re: Project No. 3-20, Recognition of Elements of Financial Statements

Dear Mr. Skelton:

On behalf of the Florida Government Finance Officers Association (FGFOA), we are pleased to respond to the Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB) Invitation to Comment on the Exposure Draft for Project No. 3-20, *Recognition of Elements of Financial Statements*. The comments below were prepared based on a review by the FGFOA members, its Technical Resources Committee, and the Board of Directors.

We generally concur with the goals of the Exposure Draft; however, our concurrence is conditional and with exception for the following points/questions.

Our overall concurrence with this ED and the other related proposals are based upon their current editions and barred any future changes that cause further divergence from the current-financial resources measurement focus. State and local governments must manage their finances according to the laws mandated to them, which can prescribe required budgeting practices that are not adaptable to changes in financial reporting rules. Moving governmental fund accounting and reporting further away from the budgetary basis already established within the laws and still requiring a comparison of budget-to-actuals in the financial statements may create confusion amongst financial statement users resulting in more operational cost for preparers in requiring lengthier reconciliation schedules.

We question whether the operational costs for implementing this proposal's guidance, if finalized, along with the other related projects' guidance (Projects No. 4-6P and No. 3-25) is worth the conceptual benefits. We recommend GASB performs field studies using volunteers to simulate implementation in an effort to measure the quantifiable associated operations costs prior to going forward with the proposals. Otherwise, GASB should look at modifying current guidance, where possible, to achieve some of the more cost-effective objectives that are trying to be achieved.

There are a few concerns involving the recognition and reporting of long-term transactions in the proposal and how they related to interperiod equity and accountability we would like the GASB to consider. With the current ED and the related *Revenue and Expense Recognition Preliminary Views* (Project No. 4-6P), expenditure reimbursement and purpose-restricted grants that can take longer than 12 months for the transaction to conclude for grant recipients will result in reimbursed expenditures occurring in one period and the reimbursement in another.

1. By not allowing the grant recipients in these situations to record short-term financial statement inflows (receivables and revenues), we are concerned that may not benefit the reporting entity in demonstrating the financial reporting objectives of accountability and compliance.

2. We are concerned that reporting the inflow (revenue) when it occurs as revenue in the future period may not benefit the future taxpayer in terms of interperiod equity when it related to a prior period expenditure (outflow).

We would like to thank the GASB for its efforts in preparing the Exposure Draft and for the opportunity to respond. Please feel free to contact me at (407) 742-3467 or Jamie.roberson@osceolaclerk.org regarding the comments above.

Sincerely,

Jamie Roberson, CGFO

President